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- Space sharing among interactive programs in virtual memory is managed by page replacement.
- Commonly used policy is the global LRU replacement in the entire user memory space.
- Thrashing: accumulated memory requests of multiple programs exceed available user space,
  - No program is able to establish its working set;
  - causing large page faults;
  - low CPU utilization; and
  - execution of each program practically stops.
Past and Existing Thrashing Protection Methods

- **Local page replacement:**
  - Each program is statically allocated a fixed size.
  - DEC VAX machine had this in its VMS in early 1980’s.
  - Memory underutilization: not adapting dynamics.
  - It is no longer used in any systems.

- **Load control:**
  - While thrashing, some job(s) is/are suspended/swapped.
  - Open BSD operating systems, IBM RS/6000, HP9000.
  - HP-UX has a `serialize()` command for thrashing.
  - Linux makes load controls based on RSS (resident set size) reporting the total number of occupied pages.
Limits and Problems of Load Controls

- A thrashing is often triggered by a brief spike of memory demand, a load control can over-react.
- Suspending a job causes other related jobs to quit.
- When a job is suspended, its working set can be replaced quickly by other running programs, very expensive to rebuild the working set.
- A lightweight and dynamic protection is much more desirable than a brute-force action.
Some Insights into Thrashing

- The global LRU replacement generates two types of LRU pages for replacement:
  - True LRU pages: to which programs do not need to access.
  - False LRU pages: to which programs have not been able to access due to required working set is not set up yet, or page faults are being conducted.

- A system cannot distinguish true or false LRU pages, but selects both for replacement.

- The amount false LRU pages is a status indicator: no, marginally, or seriously thrashing.
Token-based Thrashing Protection Facility

- Jiang/Zhang, *Performance Evaluation*, 05, *(Ohio State)*.
- Conducted intensive experiments at the kernel level along with analysis on memory thrashing:
  - A sudden spike of memory demand from one can generate many false LRU pages in others, particularly in an less demanding one.
  - As false pages reach to a certain amount, the system becomes little productive even when physical memory is not too small.
- Basic idea of the token mechanism:
  - As the system enters a pre-thrashing stage (low RSS, and high idle CPU), a token is issued to a process so that it can quickly form its working set and proceed.
  - This approach can effectively and timely avoid thrashing.
Some Alternatives in Its Implementation

- Which process to issue the token?
  - A less memory demanding process.
- How long does a process hold the token?
  - It is adjustable and proportional to the thrashing degree.
- What happens if thrashing is too serious?
  - It becomes a polite load control mechanism by setting a long token time so that each program has to be executed one by one.
- Multi-tokens can be effective for light thrashing.
- The token and its variations were implemented and tested in Linux kernel 2.2.
Outcome and Impact of This Work

- A paper entitled "Token-ordered LRU: …" has been rejected by several top system conferences. (Main reason: this is not a hot OS topic anymore).

- A successful technology transfer based on it!
  - A group of independent Linux kernel developers organized by Rik van Reil of RedHat started a project to include the token into the Linux kernel in July 2004.
  - The implementation insights and detailed technical discussions are well documented in the Internet.

- Token-ordered LRU, renamed as *Swap token*, was formally adopted in Linux kernel 2.6.9, 12/04, serving millions of users world wide.
Impact of This Work (continued)

- **Swap token** is introduced in book *Understanding Linux Kernel* (3rd edition), (Bovet and Casati)

- **False LRU page** concept is quoted in OS wiki.

- **Continued efforts on adaptive swap token in kernel:**
  - Switch on/off the token adaptive to VM load changes.
  - Other alternative proposed in the paper.
The Evolution of Swap Token in Linux

- **First version**: token is randomly given to a process
  - A time stamp is used to handover the token one by one
  - **Limit 1**: the token may not hit to the most desirable one
  - **Limit 2**: a constant time stamp may not address urgency

- **preempt swap token** (current version)
  - A “priority counter” is set for each process to record the number of swap-out pages.
  - The counter is incremented for a unit of swap-out pages
  - The token is always to the process with high “priority”
  - The length of time stamp varies by the priority degree
/*
 * mm/thrash.c
 *
 * Copyright (C) 2004, Red Hat, Inc.
 * Copyright (C) 2004, Rik van Riel <riel@redhat.com>
 * Released under the GPL, see the file COPYING for details.
 * Simple token based thrashing protection, using the algorithm
 * described in: http://www.cs.wm.edu/~sjiang/token.pdf
 */

#include <linux/mm.h>
#include <linux/mm.h>
#include <linux/sched.h>
#include <linux/swap.h>

static DEFINE_SPINLOCK(swap_token_lock);
static unsigned long swap_token_timeout
unsigned long swap_token_check
struct mm_struct * swap_token_mm= &init_mm;

#define SWAP_TOKEN_CHECK_INTERVAL(HZ * 2)
#define SWAP_TOKEN_TIMEOUT 0

/*
 * Currently disabled; Needs further code to work at HZ * 300.
 */

unsigned long swap_token_default_timeout= SWAP_TOKEN_TIMEOUT

/*
 * Take the token away if the process had no page faults
 * in the last interval, or if it has held the token for
 * too long.
 */

#define SWAP_TOKEN_ENOUGH_RSS
#define SWAP_TOKEN_TIMED_OUT

static int should_release_swap_token(struct mm_struct *mm)